Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims 1,9,13,57 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11- 13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the Allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the Allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the Rotice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
All Participants: (1) GREG BENGZON. (3) David Lee,Reg.NO. 60474. (2)		09/496,009	ELLIS ET AL.	
All Participants: (1) GREG BENGZON: (3) David Lee Req NO. 60474. (4) Date of Interview: 14 October 2010 Time: Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Type of Interview: Telephonic Telepho		Examiner	Art Unit	
(2) (3)		GREG BENGZON	2444	
Carrest Carr	All Participants: Status of Application:			
Type of Interview: ☐ Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Claims 1,9,13,57 Prior art documents discussed: Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11-13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. Part III. Part III. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	(1) <u>GREG BENGZON</u> .	(3) <u>David Lee,Reg.NO. 60474</u> .		
Type of Interview: Telephonic Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative)	(2)	(4)		
Telephonic Applicant Applicant's representative)	Date of Interview: <u>14 October 2010</u>	Time:		
Rejection(s) discussed: Claims discussed: Claims 1,9,13,57 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11-13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No			
Claims 1,9,13,57 Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11- 13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.	Part I.			
Prior art documents discussed: Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11- 13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. **Greg Bengzon/** Examiner, Art Unit 2444	Rejection(s) discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11- 13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. **Greg Bengzon/** Examiner, Art Unit 2444	Claims discussed: Claims 1,9,13,57			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11- 13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. **Greg Bengzon/** Examiner, Art Unit 2444	Prior art documents discussed:			
Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11- 13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. **Greg Bengzon/** Examiner, Art Unit 2444*	Part II.			
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Greg Bengzon/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444	SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed incorporating all the limitations from Claim 9 and Claims 11- 13, including all intervening claims, into the all independent claims to further the claims towards allowance. The applicant did not agree to the proposed claim amendments. There was no agreement regarding allowable subject matter.			
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. Greg Bengzon/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444	Part III.			
Examiner, Art Unit 2444	of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview			
Examiner, Art Unit 2444				
	/Greg Bengzon/ Examiner, Art Unit 2444 (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	